



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,648	02/28/2002	Chih C. Tsien	10559-740001 / P13596	6959
20985	7590	04/02/2004		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081				
EXAMINER BEHULU, ALEMAYEHU				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2682		9		
DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/086,648

**Applicant(s)**

TSIEN ET AL.

**Examiner**

Alemayehu Behulu

**Art Unit**

2682

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 32 and 33 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-17 and 23-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 18-22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-8, 10-17, 23, 24, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoemake (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0105925) in view of Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514). Referring to claim 1, Shoemake discloses a method comprising , monitoring a variable rate data communication channel to determine its signal to noise ratio (paragraph [0004], figures 6B, 6C, 7B and 7C), and adjusting the data transmission rate of the variable rate data transmission channel based on its signal to noise ratio (paragraphs [0035], [0038], [0042], [0044], [0045], and figures 6B, 7B and 8). However, Shoemake fails to disclose monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio. But, Borg discloses monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio (abstract, column 3, lines 13-55). Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Shoemake (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0105925) with Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514) in order to determine the transmission quality as suggested by Borg.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 1, adjusting the data transmission rate includes comparing the signal to noise ratio of the variable rate data communication channel to a plurality of signal to noise ratio range (see Shoemake paragraphs [0038], [0043], [0044], and figures 4 and 6C).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 2 wherein adjusting the data transmission rate further includes selecting the signal-to noise ratio range that encompasses the signal-to-noise ratio of the variable rate data communication channel (see Shoemake paragraphs, [0032], [0033], [0038]-[0045] and figures 4, 6B, 7B, and 6C).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 3 wherein each signal-to-noise ratio range is associated with a specific data transmission rate (figure 4), adjusting the data transmission rate further includes setting the data transmission rate of the variable rate data communication channel to the specific data transmission rates associated with the selected signal-to-noise ratio range (see Shoemake paragraphs [0004], [0042], [0045], and figures 6B, 7B, 6C, 7C and 8).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the variable rate data communication channel is a bidirectional channel that includes a receive side for receiving data from a remote device and a transmit side for transmitting data to that remote device (see Shoemake figures 2), said monitoring a variable-rate data communication channel includes determining a noise signal strength factor for the receive side of the variable-rate data communication channel (see Shoemake figures 3-7, paragraphs [0032] and [0033], figure 1, numbers 110, 130a, 130b, figure 3, numbers 310, 330a, 330b, figure 7A, numbers 710, 730b).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 5 wherein monitoring a variable-rate data communication channel includes determining a received signal strength factor for the receive side of the variable-rate data communication during a transmission period (see Shoemake figures 5-6, paragraphs [0035]-[0041]).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claims 7 and 16, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control process of claim 15 wherein said SNR determination process includes a data signal determination process for determining the difference between said received signal strength factor and said noise signal strength factor, wherein said difference is a data signal strength factor (see Shoemake figures 3, 5A, 6A, 7A, 6B, numbers 640, 625, figure 7B, numbers 740, 725, note: the office interprets the SNR as the difference or ration between the signal and noise and SNIR the difference between the signal and noise interference ratio).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the method of claim 6 wherein monitoring a variable-rate data communication channel includes determining the signal-to-noise ratio of the variable-rate data communication channel from the data signal strength factor and the noise signal strength factor (see Shoemake figures 1, 3,4, 6A, 6B, 7A, 7B).

Regarding claim 10, Shoemake discloses data transmission rate control process (see figures 1-8) comprising: an SNR determination process for monitoring a variable-rate data communication channel to determine its signal-to-noise ratio (see Shoemake paragraphs [0004], [0039], [0041] and figures 1, 3, 4, 5A, 6A, 6C, 7A, 7C and 8); and a transmission rate adjustment process, responsive to said SNR determination process, for adjusting the data transmission rate of said variable rate data communication channel based on its signal-to-noise ratio (paragraphs [0004], [0035], [0038], [0042], [0044], [0045], and figures 5B, 6B, 7B and 8). However, Shoemake fails to disclose monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio. But, Borg discloses monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio (abstract, column 3, lines 13-55). Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Shoemake (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0105925) with

Art Unit: 2682

Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514) in order to determine the transmission quality as suggested by Borg.

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control process of claim 16 wherein said SNR determination process includes a SNR calculation process for determining said signal-to-noise ratio of said variable-rate data communication channel from said actual signal strength factor and said noise signal strength factor (see Shoemake figures 2, 3 and paragraph [0033]).

Regarding claim 23, Shoemake discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon which when executed by processor (paragraphs [0032], [0034], and [0042]), cause that processor to, monitor a variable-rate data communication channel to determine its signal to noise ratio (paragraph [0004], figures 6B, 6C, 7B and 7C), and adjust the data transmission rate of the variable rate data communication channel based on its signal to noise ration (paragraphs [0035], [0038], [0042], [0044], [0045], and figures 6B, 7B and 8). However, Shoemake fails to disclose monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio. But, Borg discloses monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio (abstract, column 3, lines 13-55). Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Shoemake (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0105925) with Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514) in order to determine the transmission quality as suggested by Borg.

Regarding claim 24, the combination of Shoemake and Borg disclose the computer program product of claim 23, that computer readable medium is a read-only memory (see Shoemake paragraphs [0032] and [0042], figures 5C, 6C, 7C and 8, number 857).

Regarding claim 31, Shoemake discloses a method comprising: bidirectional communication channel including receive side a receive side for receiving data from a remote side and a transmit side for transmitting data to a remote device (figure 2) and adjusting the data transmission rate of the variable rate data communication channel based on its signal to noise ratio (figures 6B, 6C, 7B, 7C). However, Shoemake fails to disclose monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio. But, Borg discloses monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio (abstract, column 3, lines 13-55). Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Shoemake (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0105925) with Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514) in order to determine the transmission quality as suggested by Borg.

2. Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jalali (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0095506) in view of Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514).

Regarding claim 25, Jalali discloses a data communication rate control system comprising, first computing device including a first wireless communication system (figure 3, number 110a), a second computing device including a second wireless communication system (figure 3, number 150a), wherein first and second wireless communication systems form a variable rate data communication channel between first and second computing devices (figure 1A, numbers 110, 112, 150 and 166, figure 3, number 110a, 150, 330, 342, 370 and 378, figures 4 and 5 and paragraph [0028]), that each wireless communication system includes a SNR determination

Art Unit: 2682

process for monitoring variable-rate data communication channel to determine its signal-to-noise ratio (paragraphs [0026], [0045]-[0098], and [0113], and figures 1A, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and claims 1, 8, 9, 13, 19, 20 and 31), and a transmission rate adjustment process, responsive to SNR determination process, for adjusting the data transmission rate of variable rate data communication channel based on its signal-to-noise ratio (figure 2, numbers 220, 222, 224, figure 3, numbers 340, 330 see the solid arrow line from 340 to 330 for the transmitter side, numbers 378 and 380 see the dashed arrow line from 378 to 370 for the receiver side, figure 4, number 418, figure 5, number 370, and paragraphs [0025]-[0028], [0075], [0097]-[0098], and claims 13, 17, 18, and 27). However, Jalali fails to disclose monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio. But, Borg discloses monitoring a non-transmission period to determine its signal-to-noise-ratio (abstract, column 3, lines 13-55). Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Jalali (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0095506) with Borg (U.S Patent No. 5, 355, 514) in order to determine the transmission quality as suggested by Borg.

Regarding claim 26 the combination of Jalali and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control system of claim 25 that transmission rate adjustment process includes a SNR comparison process for comparing the signal-to-noise ration of variable rate data communication channel to a plurality of signal-to-noise ration ranges (see Jalali figure 2, paragraphs [0010], [0039]-[0074], [0084], and [0125], and claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 13, and 14), and a range selection process for selecting a signal-to noise ration range that encompasses the signal-to-noise ratio of variable rate data communication channel (figure 2, number 218 and 220 and paragraphs [0020], [0071]-[0073], and claims 1, 8 and 13).



Regarding claim 27 the combination of Jalali and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control system of claim 26 wherein each signal-to-noise-ratio range is associated with a specific data transmission rate (see Jalali figure 2, number 220, 222, and 224 paragraphs [0010], [0036], [0039], [0043], [0074], and claims 1, 8, 13, 16, and 17), transmission rate adjustment process including a transmission rate selection process for setting the data transmission rate of variable rate data communication channel to the specific data transmission rate associated with the selected signal-to-noise ratio (see Jalali figure 2, numbers 220, 222, 224, figure 3, numbers 340, 330 see the solid arrow line from 340 to 330 for the transmitter side, numbers 378 and 380 see the dashed arrow line from 378 to 370 for the receiver side, figure 4, number 418 and figure 5, number 370, figure 5, number 370, and paragraphs [0025]-[0028], [0075], [0097]-[0098] and claims 13, 17, 18, and 27).

Regarding claim 28 the combination of Jalali and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control system of claim 27 wherein said variable rate data communication channel is a bidirectional channel that includes a receive side for receiving data from a remote device and a transmit side for transmitting data to that remote device (see Jalali figures 1A and 3, paragraphs [0091]-[0100]) said SNR determination process including a noise signal determination process for determining a noise signal strength factor for said receive side of said variable-rate data communication channel (see Jalali figures 1B, 2, paragraphs [0069]-[0075]).

Regarding claim 29 the combination of Jalali and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control system of claim 28 wherein said SNR determination process includes: a received signal determination process for determining a received signal strength factor for said receive side of said variable-rate data communication channel during a transmission period (see Jalali

Art Unit: 2682

paragraphs [0069]-[0073]; and a data signal determination process for determining the difference between said received signal strength factor and said noise signal strength factor, wherein said difference is a data signal strength factor (see Jalali paragraphs [0070], [0074], [0075], claim 8).

Regarding claim 30 the combination of Jalali and Borg disclose the data transmission rate control system of claim 29 wherein said SNR determination process includes an SNR calculation process for determining said signal-to-noise ratio of said variable-rate data communication channel from said actual signal strength factor and said noise signal strength factor (see Jalali paragraphs [0070], [0074], [0075], claim 8).

*Allowable Subject Matter*

3. Claims 9, 18-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 9, 18-22 the applied references fail to disclose or render, obvious the claimed limitations that iteratively adjusting the data transmission rate of the variable rate data communication channel if the signal to noise ratio of the channel can not be determined for a defined period of time as specified in the claim.

4. Claims 32 and 33 are allowed.

Regarding claims 32 and 33, the applied references fail to disclose or render, obvious the claimed limitations that iteratively adjusting the data transmission rate of the variable rate data

Art Unit: 2682

communication channel if the signal to noise ratio of the channel can not be determined for a defined period of time as specified in the claim.

***Response to Amendment***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alemayehu Behulu whose telephone number is 703-305-4828. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

  
VIVIAN CHIN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600